



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,977	03/19/2001	Jonathan M. Vincent	15402.1	9276

22913 7590 05/02/2005

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY)
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

YIGDALL, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2192

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/811,977

Applicant(s)

VINCENT, JONATHAN M.

Examiner

Michael J. Yigdall

Art Unit

2192

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6,9-12,15,19-30 and 33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6,9-12,15,19-30 and 33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

A

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and response filed on October 19, 2004 has been fully considered. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-12, 15, 19-30 and 33 remain pending.
2. It should be noted that the response filed on October 19, 2004 incorrectly identifies Application Serial No. 10/811,977 rather than 09/811,977. Additionally, it should be noted that the amendments to the claims do not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121(c) because the deleted text has not been shown by strike-through or within double brackets.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that, "according to Parthasarathy, both the HTML document and the required software are obtained from the same remote computer," whereas "claim 1 specifies that the content data and the required version of the required software component are received from different computers" (Applicant's remarks, page 14, first paragraph).

However, the remote computer 44 in FIG. 2 of Parthasarathy is illustrative of a plurality of remote computers connected to computer network 38. Parthasarathy expressly discloses, "The control file is used to determine the location of the software components on one or more remote computers 44 to download to the local computer 36" (see column 9, lines 18-21). Furthermore, Parthasarathy notes that a remote computer 44 is also referred to as a "site" or "store," such as a World Wide Web site on the Internet (see column 7, lines 3-11), and discloses that software components may be obtained from "default Object Store locations 44" or from other sites pointed to by several different URLs (see column 14, lines 48-56). More than one server is

Art Unit: 2192

searched to find the software components (see, for example, column 14, lines 21-24 and column 15, lines 39-47). So while the HTML document is obtained from “a remote computer 44” (see column 11, lines 21-25), the software components are obtained from “one or more remote computers 44” (see column 9, lines 18-21), and not necessarily from the same remote computer. Therefore, Parthasarathy teaches that the content data and the required version of the required software component are received from different computers.

Specification

4. The objection to the abstract of the disclosure is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the specification filed on October 19, 2004.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 15 (currently amended) is objected to because it recites, “The method of claim 14,” while claim 14 has been canceled. Claim 30 (currently amended) is objected to because it lacks antecedent basis for “the source” in line 19. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2192

7. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-12, 15, 19-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,347,398 to Parthasarathy et al. (art of record, "Parthasarathy").

With respect to claim 1 (currently amended), Parthasarathy discloses, in a computer that communicates with other computers over a network (see, for example, FIG. 2), a method for automatically updating software components at the computer (see, for example, the abstract), the method comprising the acts of:

(a) receiving a data file from a first other computer associated with the network (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows receiving an HTML data file from a first remote computer), wherein the data file includes:

(i) content data (see, for example, column 3, lines 31-37, which shows that multimedia content is included in the HTML data file); and

(ii) component information and version information specifying required software components and required versions thereof that are to be used to process the content data (see, for example, column 10, lines 4-14, which shows component and version information included in the file that references the software components required for the content data);

(b) comparing the component information and the version information with software components installed at the computer and identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 10, lines 15-25, which shows comparing the information with the software components installed on the local computer and identifying the required version);

Art Unit: 2192

(c) receiving an update table from the first other computer that specifies a second other computer associated with the network from which the required version of the required software component is to be received (see, for example, column 14, lines 25-40, which shows receiving a control file; column 16, lines 45-50 and column 17, lines 61-67, which shows that the control file includes an update table that specifies other locations for the software components; and column 9, lines 18-21, which further shows one or more other remote computers, such as a second remote computer, from which the software components are to be received);

(d) requesting and receiving the required version of the required software component from the second other computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-58, which shows downloading the required version of the required software component from the second remote computer); and

(e) using the required version of the required software component to process the content data (see, for example, column 9, lines 22-32, which shows using the required software component for the multimedia content).

With respect to claim 3 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer comprises the act of determining that no version of the required software component is installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows determining whether the software component is installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 4 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed

Art Unit: 2192

at the computer comprises the act of determining that a previous version of the required software component is installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows determining the previous version of the component installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 5 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the act of installing the updated component files (see, for example, column 9, lines 42-45, which shows installing the software components).

With respect to claim 6 (currently amended), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitations wherein:

(a) the data file is a web document (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows that the data file is an HTML document, i.e. a web document); and

(b) the required software component includes functionality associated with a feature of a web browser operating at the computer (see, for example, column 9, lines 22-32 and 55-62, which show that the software component provides functionality to a web browser).

With respect to claim 9 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the acts of:

(a) determining that a required version of another required software component is already installed at the computer (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows determining the version of a file or component already installed on the local computer); and

(b) processing the content data with the required version of said other required software component already installed at the computer without requesting or receiving the required version of said other software component from any other computer associated with the network (see, for

Art Unit: 2192

example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows not downloading files or components already installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 10 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of requesting and receiving the required version of the required software component is conducted without requesting or receiving an updated copy of an entire software program that includes both the required version of the required software component and other required software components (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows receiving the required version of the required software component without downloading the entire software program).

With respect to claim 11 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the component information and the version information are encoded in the data file by a publisher of the data file (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows that the component and version information is embedded or encoded in the HTML data file), the data file having been generated by the publisher using development software that includes functionality corresponding to the functionality of the required version of the required software component that is requested and received from said other computer (see, for example, column 9, lines 22-32 and 55-62, which show that the software component provides functionality to a web browser; note that inherently, the data file and the included content must be generated in some fashion using development software with corresponding functionality).

With respect to claim 12 (currently amended), Parthasarathy discloses, in a computer that communicates with other computers over a network (see, for example, FIG. 2), a method for

Art Unit: 2192

automatically updating software components of a processing program at the computer so that the updated software components can be used to process content data of a data file (see, for example, the abstract), the method comprising the acts of:

(a) receiving a data file from a first other computer in the network (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows receiving an HTML data file from a first remote computer);

(b) opening the data file using the processing software (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows opening the HTML data file using a browser), wherein the data file includes:

(i) content data (see, for example, column 3, lines 31-37, which shows that multimedia content is included in the HTML data file); and

(ii) component information and version information specifying required software components and required versions thereof that are to be used to process the content data (see, for example, column 10, lines 4-14, which shows component and version information included in the file that references the software components required for the content data);

(c) comparing the component information and the version information with data identifying software components already installed at the client computer and versions of the installed software components (see, for example, column 10, lines 15-25, which shows comparing the information with the software components installed on the local computer and identifying the required version);

(d) based on the act of comparing, identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows identifying the required version of the required software component not yet installed on the local computer);

(e) requesting an update table from the first other computer associated with the network, wherein the update table specifies a second other computer associated with the network from which the required version of the required software component can be obtained (see, for example, column 14, lines 25-40, which shows requesting a control file; column 16, lines 45-50 and column 17, lines 61-67, which shows that the control file includes an update table that specifies other locations for the software components; and column 9, lines 18-21, which further shows one or more other remote computers, such as a second remote computer, from which the software components can be obtained);

(f) receiving the requested update table (see, for example, column 14, lines 25-40, which shows receiving the control file that includes the update table);

(g) based on the update table, identifying said second other computer from which the required version of the required software component can be obtained (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows identifying the second remote computer from which the required components can be obtained);

(h) requesting the required version of the required software component from said second other computer (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows downloading the required versions of the required software components);

(i) receiving the required version of the required software component from said second other computer (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows downloading the required versions of the required software components); and

(j) installing the required version of the required software component, thereby updating the software components of the processing software such that the required version of the required software component can be used to process the content data (see, for example, column 9, lines 42-45, which shows installing the software components).

With respect to claim 15 (currently amended), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of receiving the data file comprises the act of receiving a web document (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows receiving an HTML document, i.e. a web document), wherein the processing program is a web browser and the required version of the required software component is associated with the web browser (see, for example, column 9, lines 55-62, which shows that the software component is associated with a web browser).

With respect to claim 19 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the network is a global communications network (see, for example, column 6, lines 44-56 and column 1, lines 20-31, which show that the network is the Internet, a global communications network).

With respect to claim 20 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer comprises the act of determining that no version of the required software

Art Unit: 2192

component is yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows determining whether the software component is installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 21 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer comprises the act of determining that a previous version of the required software component has already been installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows determining the previous version of the component installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 22 (currently amended), Parthasarathy discloses a computer program product for implementing, in a computer that communicates with other computers over a network (see, for example, FIG. 2), a method for automatically updating software components at the computer (see, for example, the abstract), the computer program product comprising a computer-readable medium carrying computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause the computer to perform the method (see, for example, column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 21), wherein the method comprises the acts of:

(a) receiving a data file from a first other computer associated with the network (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows receiving an HTML data file from a first remote computer), wherein the data file includes:

(i) content data (see, for example, column 3, lines 31-37, which shows that multimedia content is included in the HTML data file); and

Art Unit: 2192

(ii) component information and version information specifying required software components and required versions thereof that are to be used to process the content data (see, for example, column 10, lines 4-14, which shows component and version information included in the file that references the software components required for the content data);

(b) comparing the component information and the version information with software components installed at the computer and identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 10, lines 15-25, which shows comparing the information with the software components installed on the local computer and identifying the required version);

(c) receiving an update table from the first other computer that specifies a second other computer associated with the network from which the required version of the required software component is to be received (see, for example, column 14, lines 25-40, which shows receiving a control file; column 16, lines 45-50 and column 17, lines 61-67, which shows that the control file includes an update table that specifies other locations for the software components; and column 9, lines 18-21, which further shows one or more other remote computers, such as a second remote computer, from which the software components are to be received);

(d) requesting and receiving the required version of the required software component from the second other computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-58, which shows downloading the required version of the required software component from the second remote computer); and

(e) using the required version of the required software component to process the content data (see, for example, column 9, lines 22-32, which shows using the required software component for the multimedia content).

With respect to claim 23 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer comprises the act of determining that no version of the required software component is installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows determining whether the software component is installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 24 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the act of identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer comprises the act of determining that a previous version of the required software component is installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows determining the previous version of the component installed on the local computer).

With respect to claim 25 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the required version of the required component is associated with browser software that operates at the computer and processes the content data (see, for example, column 9, lines 55-62, which shows that the software component is associated with a web browser and processes the multimedia content).

With respect to claim 26 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the method further comprises the act of installing the required version of the required software

component after the required version of the required software component is received at the computer (see, for example, column 9, lines 33-45, which shows downloading and subsequently installing the software components).

With respect to claim 27 (currently amended), Parthasarathy discloses a system of networked computers that is capable of automatically updating software components of a processing program of a computer included in the system so that the updated software components can be used to process content data of a data file (see, for example, abstract), the system comprising:

(a) a computer, wherein the computer includes a memory module which stores software components of the processing program that are used to process the data file (see, for example, column 6, lines 44-56, which shows a local computer having a memory module that stores a processing program used to process the data file, and column 9, lines 55-62, which shows the software components of the processing program);

(b) a plurality of servers with memory modules that store updated software components (see, for example, column 15, lines 39-47, which shows a plurality of software servers), wherein one of the plurality of servers is a software server with a memory module that stores a table of available updated software components related to the processing program and the network locations from which the updated software components can be obtained (see, for example, column 16, lines 45-50, which shows a control file stored on the software server having a table of network locations from which updated software components can be obtained), wherein the computer uses a communication module to interface with the plurality of servers (see, for example, column 6, lines 56-65, which shows a network interface);

(c) a communication network that connects the computer with the plurality of servers (see, for example, column 6, lines 44-56, which shows a communication network); and

(d) processing means at the computer (see, for example, column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 13) for:

(i) comparing component information and version information of a data file specifying required software components and required versions thereof that are to be used to process content data included in the data file with software components installed at the computer and identifying a required version of a required software component that is not yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 10, lines 4-25, which shows comparing component and version information in the data file with the software components installed on the local computer and identifying the required version of the required software component not yet installed); and

(ii) automatically obtaining the required version of the required software component from a network location specified in said table (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows downloading the required versions of the required software components based on the table in the control file), wherein the network location is a computer other than the software server (see, for example, column 9, lines 18-21, which shows that the software components are located on one or more remote computers determined by the control file).

With respect to claim 28 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the processing means for automatically obtaining the required version of the required software component comprise processing means for:

(a) creating a list of the required version of the required software component and any other required versions of other required software components (see, for example, column 16, line 53 to column 17, line 36, which shows a list of the required versions of required software components);

(b) obtaining the table of available updated software components (see, for example, column 14, lines 25-40 and column 16, lines 45-50, which show obtaining a control file having a table of software components);

(c) requesting the required version of the required software component from the network location specified in said table (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows downloading the required versions of the required software components);

(d) receiving the required version of the required software component (see, for example, column 17, lines 45-60, which shows downloading the required versions of the required software components); and

(e) installing the required version of the required software component such that the processing program can use the required version of the required software component to process the content data of the data file (see, for example, column 9, lines 42-45, which shows installing the software components).

With respect to claim 29 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the network locations from which the updated software components can be obtained are associated with addresses of the plurality of servers (see, for example, column 15, lines 39-47, which shows the plurality of servers from which the updated software components can be obtained).

With respect to claim 30 (currently amended), Parthasarathy discloses a system for automatically updating software components of a processing program of a computer system so that the updated software components can be used to process content data of a data file (see, for example, the abstract), the system comprising:

(a) means for receiving a data file from a first other computer in the network (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows receiving an HTML data file from a first remote computer);

(b) means for opening the data file (see, for example, column 11, lines 21-25, which shows opening the HTML data file), wherein the data file includes:

(i) content data (see, for example, column 3, lines 31-37, which shows that multimedia content is included in the HTML data file); and

(ii) component information and version information specifying required software components and required versions thereof that are to be used to process the content data (see, for example, column 10, lines 4-14, which shows component and version information included in the file that references the software components required for the content data);

(c) means for reading the component information and the version information (see, for example, column 10, lines 15-25, which shows reading the component and version information);

(d) means for logically comparing the component information and the version information with the software components already installed at the computer to determine whether any required version of any required software component is not yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 10, lines 15-25, which shows comparing the information

Art Unit: 2192

with the software components installed on the local computer and identifying the required version);

(e) means for requesting from a second computer associated with the network said any required version of said any required software component that is not yet installed at the computer (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-58, which shows downloading the required version of the required software component from a remote computer, and column 9, lines 18-21, which further shows one or more other remote computers, such as a second remote computer, from which the software components are downloaded);

(f) means for receiving said any required version of said any required software components sent by the source (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-58, which shows downloading the required version of the required software component from the second remote computer); and

(g) means for installing said any required version of said any required software component such that the computer can use updated versions of the required software components to process the content data (see, for example, column 9, lines 42-45, which shows installing the software components).

With respect to claim 33 (original), Parthasarathy further discloses the limitation wherein the means for logically comparing is capable of comparing the component information and the version information with data identifying software components already installed at the client computer and versions of the installed software components (see, for example, column 13, lines 42-48, which shows comparing the information with the versions of the component installed on the local computer).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Yigdall whose telephone number is (571) 272-3707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.


Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MY

Michael J. Yigdall
Examiner
Art Unit 2192

mjy


WEI Y. ZHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER